

For

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Havley Korn, et al.

Serial No.

Filed

February 11, 1999

09/248,436

APPARATUS FOR DISABLING A TELEPHONE RINGER

"Express Mail" mailing label No. EL 996376400 US

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being

deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Date of Deposit: March 22, 2004

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Name: Stephen Mc

Signature:

Examiner

Jeffrey F. Harold

Group Art Unit

2644

PETITION TO REVIVE ABANDONED APPLICATION

Mail Stop Petitions Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner for Patents:

By this petition applicants respectfully request revival of the abovereferenced application which was held abandoned by Paper No. 11 dated January 22, 2004. The basis for abandonment as stated in the Notice of Abandonment was "The reply received on December 4, 2003, was timely however it was non-compliant, thus the amendment was not entered and [by] the time frame to reply on December 4, 2003."

Applicants respectfully submit that their response of December 4, 2003 was substantially and essentially compliant with Patent Office Rules and Regulations, as explained below.

Relevant Facts

- 1. There was a final office action dated June 4, 2003, which held claims 31-53 and 56-59 allowable and rejected claims 6-30, 54 and 55.
- 2. Applicants filed a response dated December 4, 2003 which cancelled the rejected claims 1-30, 54 and 55 by two separate statements, once on page 2 of the response in Amendment to the Claims which recited "Applicants are canceling Claims 1-30, 54 and 55" and once on page 10 of the response in Remarks which recited "... Claims 1-30, 54 and 55 have been cancelled." In the Listing of Claims applicants recited "Claims 1-30 (Cancel)" but inadvertently did not recite "Claims 54, 55 (Cancel)."
- 3. The Notice of Abandonment states that the failure to recite "Claims 54, 55 (cancel)" in the <u>Listing of Claims</u>, resulted in non-compliance and abandonment of this application.

Discussion

Applicants respectfully submit that the omission of the recitation of "Claims 54 and 55 (cancel)" in the Listing of Claims was an inadvertent error in the nature of a typographic informality, but that applicants' intention was abundantly clear (a) from the <a href="Manual Manual Ma

In regard to applicants' intention to cancel claims 1-30 and Claim 54 and 55, it should be noted in the Listing of Claims that applicants did recite "Claims 1-30"

(Cancel)," and it was obviously an inadvertent failure to include the recitation "Claims 54, 55 Cancel" on Page 6 of the Response, between the recitations of Claims 53 and 56.

Applicants' Response of December 4, 2003 followed all the procedural requirements to attain full compliance with the office action and the Patent Office regulations, except for this inadvertent error which omitted four words; however, applicants' intent was <u>unambiguously</u> stated at the beginning and at the end of the document.

In conclusion, it is submitted that applicants' intention was completely clear, and that abandonment of the application is a severe consequence for the circumstances described above. Accordingly, reconsideration of this application and favorable action are respectfully requested. Applicants' check for the petition fee is enclosed.

Notwithstanding applicants' position set forth above, applicants now enclose a substitute Response to Final Office Action (without repeat payment of fees), this substitute document including in the Listing of Claims a specific recitation that Claims 54 and 55 are cancelled, to overcome the objection to the original Response filed December 4, 2003.

If an extension of time is required to enable this document to be timely filed and there is no separate Request for Extension of Time, this document is to be construed as also constituting a Request for Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) for a period of time sufficient to enable this document to be timely filed. Any

269120.1

fee required for such a Request for Extension of Time and any other fee required by this document pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 and 1.17 and not submitted herewith should be charged to the Deposit Account of the undersigned attorneys, Account No. 01-1785; any refund should be credited to the same account. One copy of this document is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP Attorneys for Applicants 90 Park Avenue New York, New York 10016 (212) 336-8000

Dated: New York, New York

March 21, 2004

By:

Registration No. 22,959



07-27-04

PTO/SB/64 (11-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

aperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Docket Number (Optional) PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b) First named inventor: Hayley Korn, et al. Application No.: 9/248,436 Art Unit: 2644 Examiner: Jeffrey F. Harold Filed: February 11, 1999 Title: APPARATUS FOR DISABLING A TELEPHONE RINGER AUG 0 2 2004 Attention: Office of Petitions **Mail Stop Petition** Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX: (703) 872-9306 NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (703) 305-9282. The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained. APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: (1) Petition fee; (2) Reply and/or issue fee: (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee --required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional. 1. Petition fee Small entity-fee \$ 665 (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. 07/28/2004 SZEWDIE1 00000012 09248436 Other than small entity - fee \$ _____(37 CFR 1.17(m)) 01 FC:2453 665.00 OP 2. Reply and/or fee A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of Substitute Response to Final Office Action (identify type of reply): ✓ has been filed previously on _____ December 4, 2003 is enclosed herewith. B. The issue fee of \$ has been paid previously on _____

[Page 1 of 2]

is enclosed herewith.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/64 (11-03)
Approved for use 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

3. To	erminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee
	Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
	A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).
fil Tr ab	TATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the ing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE. The United States Patent and ademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the randonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 1.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D))].
	WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.
	July 26, 2004 David
	Date Signature
	phone J. David Dainow
Num	ber: 212-336-8000 Typed or printed name
	Amster, Rothstein & Ebenstein LLP
	Address
Encl	osures: Fee Payment 90 Park Ave., New York, NY 10016
	Address Reply
	☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form
	Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay
	Other:
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]
	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:
	deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as *expression.first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition , Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
	transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9306.
	July 26, 2004
	Date
	*Everyone Mail label no FI 996375611 US Stephen McConnaughey
	*Express Mail label no. EL996375611 US Stephen McCommanding Type or printed name of person signing certificate